

DOCSIS 4.0

Network Migration Made Easy

by Dr. Ayham Al-Banna, Director of Business Development & Fellow in the CTO Office, CommScope
and Dr. Tom Cloonan, CTO of Broadband Networks, CommScope

There are many tools available in the network migration toolbox which will enable HFC networks to support customers well into the 2030s.



Dr. Ayham Al-Banna,
Director of Business
Development & Fellow in the
CTO Office, CommScope

Dr. Ayham Al-Banna is a Director of Business Development & Fellow in the CTO office of CommScope, where he manages multiple cross-BU initiatives.

Prior to that, he served as a Director of Product Management & Fellow in the Access & Transport BU, where he was responsible for Extended Spectrum DOCSIS RF amplifiers, taps and passives. Ayham also served as an engineering Fellow in the CTO office, where he worked on defining the architecture for the Cable Access Modules of the current and future generations of ARRIS centralised and distributed CCAP/CMTS systems.

Ayham's technical expertise includes RF Communications; HFC network architecture; migration; DOCSIS 3.1; Full duplex DOCSIS; Extended Spectrum DOCSIS and emerging broadband technologies. He has several issued and pending patents and has authored a book about characterising and mitigating wireless interference in IEEE802.11 WLANs. Ayham is a senior IEEE member and has numerous publications in Wireless and Cable Communications. He received the Multi-Channel News 40 Under 40 award in 2016.



Dr. Tom Cloonan,
CTO of Broadband
Networks, CommScope

Dr. Tom Cloonan is the Chief Technology Officer (CTO) of CommScope's Broadband Networks. In this role, he is responsible for directing the architecture and future product planning of CommScope's

broadband network solutions. Dr. Cloonan joined CommScope through its acquisition of ARRIS, where he and his team designed and implemented the ARRIS E6000 CER CCAP platform. His current focus is the design of highly-scalable next-generation networks for the cable and telco operators, with an emphasis on Distributed Access Architectures and Virtualisation and DOCSIS 4.0.

Dr. Cloonan joined ARRIS through its acquisition of Cadent, a company he co-founded and served as CTO, leading the architectural development of the C4 CMTS. Previously, he was a Distinguished Member of the Technical Staff at Lucent Bell Laboratories, working on various telecommunications platforms, including Toll Digital Switching and ATM Switching, as well as high-speed routing platforms. His research also included seminal work in Free-Space Photonics Switching that led to many inventions and patents.

Introduction

The plethora of new technologies and alternatives has made the task of HFC network migration more challenging than ever! The MSOs are faced with difficult decisions as their strategy executives and HFC network architects try to navigate the future of their HFC networks. The difficulty comes from identifying potential market challenges and addressing

those challenges using the right technology in a timely and cost-effective manner.

DOCSIS 4.0 offers various technologies that will enable the delivery of 10Gbps DS peak rates and 5Gbps US peak rates. Utilising the right technology at the right time will be instrumental for the success of the MSOs. This article attempts to provide

the list of available tools in the network migration toolkit and proposes a time-aware methodology for using these tools to yield a cost-effective migration strategy that meets customers traffic demand and addresses competition.

This article is organised as follows: Section 2 introduces the latest traffic engineering trends and potential COVID-19 impact. Various network migration tools are described in Section 3. Section 4 discusses the decision interactions between some of the migration tools such as traditional FDD, FDX & dynamic soft-FDD and ESD. A time-aware decision process is discussed in Section 5. Section 6 provides an example migration strategy using various migration tools. Finally, the conclusion can be found in Section 7.

Latest traffic engineering trends and potential COVID-19 effect

Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the latest busy-hour average subscriber consumption rate (Tavg) for the DS & US directions, respectively, collected from multiple MSOs. These trends, which were captured at the beginning of 2020 and before the COVID-19 pandemic effect had spread, show that Tavg averaged across various MSOs yielded 2.36Mbps for the DS and 164kbps for the US. Additionally, the 2020 statistics show that the three-year DS Tavg CAGR had dropped from 34% to 30% and the US Tavg CAGR had slightly dropped from 22% to 21%.

At the outset of the COVID-19 pandemic, the DS and US consumption increased significantly as countries, states and cities enforced a lockdown to control the disease. With many people at home and the lack of outside activities, increased network consumption took the form of video streaming, working- from-home, virtual video gatherings, gaming, etc. The increase in the US utilisation was about 35% and the increase in the DS utilization was 20%. As some countries, states and cities started to reduce the limits of the lockdown, the consumption (relative to pre-COVID numbers) has decreased recently (as of 4 July 2020) and currently shows an increase of about 22% for the US and 14% for the DS [NCTA- COVID-19-Tracker-2020].

The US & DS will probably not go back to the original levels because of the “new normal” after COVID-19, where many people will probably continue to work from home. It is probably reasonable to assume that the US will settle to 18% (half of 35%) and the DS will settle to 10% (half of 20%). Therefore, our traffic engineering assumptions for the analyses provided later in this article assume a US Tavg of 190kbps (instead of 164kbps) for 2020 and a DS Tavg of 2.6Mbps (instead of 2.36Mbps) for 2020. Note that these percentages represent a one-time jump in Tavg due to COVID-19 and are independent from the CAGR percentages discussed in the beginning of this section, which represent a the annual growth in Tavg.




Figure 1: DS Tavg latest statistics before COVID-19




Figure 2: US Tavg latest statistics before COVID-19

Network migration tools

This section briefly describes the available tools in the network migration toolbox. These tools can be used to gradually and concurrently migrate along an optimal migration path that extends the useful lifespan of today's HFC network while gradually transforming it into the desired end goal as a FTTH network.

Selective subscriber migration

Selective subscriber migration refers to the concept of performing HFC surgical operations, whereby customers demanding very high peak rates are moved to a different platform, such as an overlay FTTH network running a specific flavour of PON. This concept, which was explained in detail in [Spring-Forum-Migration-2016], avoids the need to upgrade the whole network to meet the abnormal service levels associated with very small penetration rates. For instance, if only a select number of residential or business customers request symmetrical 10Gbps service, while the rest of customers are happy with sub 1Gbps service, then it makes sense to move those few customers to 10G PON (e.g., XGS-PON or 10G-EPON) as opposed to overhauling the whole HFC network. This tool can be used to constrain the goal of HFC network migration to address rates offered by EPON or GPON but not with 10G PON competition. Using this constraint in the decision process will yield an optimal cost-effective competitive migration strategy.

Node splits and node segmentations

Node splits and node segmentations provide another powerful tool within the HFC network migration toolkit. They can help reduce the number of subscribers per SG, which will lead to less congestion and therefore the ability to support higher peak service rates for a longer time. Remember that the total capacity required by a SG that has N_{sub} subscribers, each consuming an average busy hour rate of T_{avg} and requesting a peak rate service of T_{max} , is given by the following formula, where K is a QoE coefficient that is recommended to be between 1-1.2 to provide good QoE:

$$\text{Required SG Capacity} = N_{sub} \cdot T_{avg} + K \cdot T_{max}$$

Note that with fixed available capacity, reducing the number of subscribers using a node split will reduce the first term of the above equation, which will enable supporting higher T_{max} (within the second term) using the fixed available capacity.

Digital video, switched digital video & IPTV

The use of analogue video channels comes at a price because they do not use the spectrum very efficiently. Moving video from analogue to digital channels will enable better utilisation of the scarce spectrum via more efficient encoding schemes of the digital content. Adding SDV capabilities that only transmit video streams when being viewed can also help reduce spectrum utilisation for video services. As time

goes by, reducing the number of digital video channels and migrating to IPTV video (which uses more spectrally-efficient DOCSIS 3.1 channels) will provide yet another level of efficient usage of spectrum.

Split upgrade

There are multiple US split options supported by the DOCSIS-3.1 specifications: sub-split (5-42MHz in NA and 5-65MHz in Europe), Mid-split (5-85MHz), and High-split (5-204MHz). Supporting US peak rates up to 400Mbps will require moving from sub-split to mid-split. On the other hand, supporting US peak rates in excess of 1Gbps will require a move to a high-split architecture.

DOCSIS 4.0 specifications add other ultra-split options with US limits up to 300MHz, 396MHz, 492MHz and 684MHz. These splits can be used in a fixed or dynamic manner, as explained later in this article.

Full Duplex DOCSIS

FDX is a technology that is designed to allow the US and DS traffic to share the same spectrum simultaneously. The FDX technology was thoroughly explained in [SCTE-Tec-Soft-FDD-2019]. It is optimised for DAA N+0 network architecture. MSOs who find it expensive to migrate their networks to N+0 will probably need other alternatives. One of those alternatives is to use FDX amplifiers. However, those amplifiers will cause the problem of Interference Group elongation [SCTE-Tec-Soft-FDD-2019], where most of the subscribers become a member of the same interference group (i.e., interfering with each other); therefore true FDX operation in N+x networks may not be feasible.

Dynamic Soft-FDD

In the previous section, the challenge of running FDX in N+x ($x > 0$) networks was described. A potential solution for that problem is to run the system in Dynamic Soft-FDD mode [SCTE-Tec-Soft-FDD-2019], where the split is changed dynamically to match the traffic demand and hence offering the same benefits as FDX, but with typically larger Interference Groups. In a nutshell, Dynamic Soft-FDD is viewed as the tool that enables FDX operation in cascaded networks. Dynamic Soft-FDD is based on the same modem silicon as FDX and can help avoid replacing taps and passives beyond 1.2GHz. However, more complex amplifiers will be needed, which may put a limit on the maximum cascade depth that can be supported with Dynamic Soft-FDD. Additionally, operating the Dynamic Soft-FDD plant could be challenging for some MSOs.

Extended Spectrum DOCSIS

Another tool in the network migration toolbox is ESD, where the DS spectrum is allowed to go beyond 1.2GHz. DOCSIS 4.0 introduced requirements for 1.8GHz operation in equipment whose housing supports 3GHz spectrum. The ESD technology was described in detail in [SCTE-Tec-Soft-FDD-2019] [Spring-Forum-ESD-2016]. While the ESD technology requires changing taps and amplifiers, it works in an FDD mode, just like today's networks. The ESD amplifier design can also be challenging due to the high gain requirements needed for operation at high frequencies, where attenuation is significant. Additionally, it should be noted that the limited TCP can be a potential issue with very long plants but not so much with short or medium plants, which make up the majority of current HFC networks. Those challenges and potential solutions were discussed in [SCTE-Tec-Soft-FDD-2019].

Active Taps

As the networks get deeper in fibre deployment, they may eventually get to N+0. Before pulling fibre any deeper, the concept of active taps could be beneficial as taps are transformed into small active devices that support relatively small gain values. This enables continued use of the hardlines with higher modulation orders at higher frequencies, which will yield additional capacities [ANGA-Cable-Migration-2019] [SCTE-Tec-Soft-FDD-2019].

Fibre to the Tap (FTTT)

FTTT is a natural late-stage step to take before pulling fibre to the home, whether active taps were used or not as an intermediate step. FTTT enables the use of existing drop cables that can support frequencies up to 25+GHz, which translates to data rates of more than 200Gbps [Spring-Forum-ESD-2016]. In fact, when FTTT is used, the coaxial cable network becomes a point-to-point network which will enable ESD operation to be used in combination with FDX operation (without undergoing the current complexities of FDX that are faced with the current multi-point-to-single-point HFC networks).

Interactions of traditional FDD, FDX / Dynamic Soft-FDD and ESD

It can be confusing to think of multiple inter-related tools at the same time. To address this challenge, a decision tree was developed for N+x networks as shown in Figure 3 overleaf. Observe that the decision tree considers multiple inter-related network migration tools including traditional FDD with DOCSIS 3.0 splits, DOCSIS 3.1/DOCSIS4.0 split change, Dynamic




Figure 3: Decision tree combining multiple inter-related network migration tools: traditional FDD with DOCSIS 3.0 splits, DOCSIS 3.1/ DOCSIS4.0 split change, Dynamic Soft-FDD and ESD

“ In a nutshell, Dynamic Soft-FDD is viewed as the tool that enables FDX operation in cascaded networks. ”

Soft-FDD and ESD. In this context, recall that Dynamic Soft-FDD is the FDX flavour for N+x networks.

The ‘DS Decision Point 3’ in Figure 3 (opposite) contains a list of decision questions, depending on the chosen US split, as follows:

Case (Split)

- Case A: 204MHz Split
is desired/Planned DS Tmax > 3.6Gbps?
- Case B: 300MHz Split
is desired/Planned DS Tmax > 2.7Gbps?
- Case C: 396MHz Split
is desired/Planned DS Tmax > 1.7Gbps?
- Case D: 492MHz Split
is desired/Planned DS Tmax > 900Mbps?
- Case E: 684MHz Split
is desired/Planned DS Tmax > 0Gbps?

It can be observed from the above decision point case statement that 1.2GHz systems cannot support DS Tmax values of more than 900Mbps if the US split is 492MHz or higher. Note that the 1.65GHz Tap faceplate upgrade option refers to reusing existing taps housings by only replacing the faceplate with a new faceplate that supports higher frequencies, which can help in reducing the labour costs.

The assumptions that were used to calculate the Tmax numbers in the decision tree are as follows:

Traffic Engineering

- $K = 1$.
- $N_{sub} = 250$.
- $US_{Tavg} = 0.19Mbps$ (0.164Mbps with added step increase of 18% due to COVID-19).
- $DS_{Tavg} = 2.6Mbps$ (2.36Mbps with added step increase of 10% due to COVID-19).

US

- # of 6.4MHz SC-QAM channels = 4.
- SC-QAM spectral efficiency = 4.15bps/Hz.
- OFDMA spectral efficiency = 7.5bps/Hz.
- US spectrum start frequency = 15MHz.

DS

- # of 6MHz SC-QAM channels = 20.
- SC-QAM spectral efficiency = 6.33bps/Hz.
- OFDM spectral efficiency = 7.8bps/Hz.
- Video: 66 Digital channels.

Clearly, it should be noted that similar decision trees can easily be created for a different set of assumptions.

Note that analyses used in developing the decision tree assumed a SG size of 250 subscribers and 66 digital video channels, which arguably are good representative values of today's HFC networks.

Table 1 overleaf provides the Tmax values that can be supported over today's HFC networks, assuming no modifications. On the other hand, Table 2 overleaf provides the Tmax values that can be supported over a modified network using the different migration branches that were illustrated in Figure 3, which are:

1. Branch 1: 85MHz US with 1GHz DS.
2. Branch 2: 1.2GHz DS (with different US splits).
3. Branch 3: 1.6GHz DS using tap faceplate upgrade (~ESD with different US splits).
4. Branch 4: 1.8GHz DS using new ESD taps (ESD with different US splits).
5. Branch 5: 1.2GHz Dynamic Soft-FDD.

“ When FTTT is used, the coaxial cable network becomes a point-to-point network which will enable ESD operation to be used in combination with FDX operation. ”

US Split (MHz)	Top of DS Spectrum (MHz)	US Tmax (Mbps)	DS Tmax (Gbps)
42	750	60	1.5
42	870	60	2.5
42	1002	60	3.5
65	750	250	1.3
65	870	250	2.2
65	1002	250	3.2

Table 1: US & DS Tmax values that can be supported with today's networks (different configurations)

US Split (MHz)	US Tmax (Gbps)	DS Start Frequency (MHz)	Branch 1 FDD 1.0 GHz DS Tmax (Gbps)	Branch 2 FDD 1.2 GHz DS Tmax (Gbps)	Branch 3 FDD 1.65 GHz DS Tmax (Gbps)	Branch 4 FDD 1.8 GHz DS Tmax (Gbps)	Branch 5 Dyn. Soft-FDD 1.2 GHz DS Tmax (Gbps)
85	0.4	108	3.1	4.7	NA	NA	4.7
204	1.3	258	NA	3.6	6.9	8.1	4.7
300	2.0	372	NA	2.7	6.1	7.2	4.7
396	2.7	492	NA	1.7	5.1	6.2	4.7
492	3.5	606	NA	0.9	4.2	5.4	4.7
684	5.0	834	NA	0	2.5	3.6	4.7

Table 2: US & DS Tmax values that can be supported via different migration paths (with Digital video)

It should be noted that the above decision tree must be used along with other tools such as selective subscriber migration, node splits, migrating to IPTV etc. This holistic approach to the decision-making process yields an optimal migration path from complexity and cost point views. In particular, the table above contains technology options that are either costly or not available today, which should be taken into consideration as the MSOs plan a stepping-stone migration process.

Time-aware decision making

A key missing aspect from the decision tree and the other tools described in the previous section is the time dimension of the decision. Specifically, the busy hour per subscriber consumption rate (i.e. T_{avg}) continues to grow as time goes on, which in turn reduces the Tmax values that can be supported given a particular network architecture. Also, the SG size (N_{sub}) decreases as more node splits are undertaken, which leads to higher Tmax values. However, these two terms are multiplied by each other in the QoE formula so the net effect may not be obvious unless simulations are performed.

Another aspect that changes with time is the migration of digital video channels to IPTV which leads to more efficient video delivery and that yields support for higher Tmax values. This section introduces the time-awareness aspect into the decision process.

To take the above time-affected tools into consideration, the analysis in this section uses the latest traffic CAGR numbers presented in Section 2 (i.e. US CAGR of 21% and DS CAGR of 30%). Also, the impact of node splits over time is studied by analysing the effect of moving to smaller SG sizes (i.e., reducing the SG size from 250 to 125 and then to 64). Finally, moving video delivery from 66 digital channels to IPTV is also simulated to understand the effect of this move on the life of the network.

Finally, multiple curves are illustrated to show the impact of changing the US split and moving the DS top end to 1.65GHz or 1.8GHz.

The Time-aware simulation assumptions are as follows:

Traffic Engineering

- $K = 1$.
- $N_{sub} = 250$ subscribers (penetration ratio of data subscribers 50%).
- US $T_{avg} = 0.19\text{Mbps}$ (0.164Mbps with added step increase of 18% due to COVID-19) in 2020.
- US CAGR = 21%.
- DS $T_{avg} = 2.6\text{Mbps}$ (2.36Mbps with added step increase of 10% due to COVID-19) in 2020.
- DS CAGR = 30%.

US

- # of 6.4MHz SC-QAM channels = 4.
- SC-QAM spectral efficiency = 4.15bps/Hz.
- OFDMA spectral efficiency = 7.5bps/Hz.
- US spectrum start frequency = 15MHz.

DS

- # of 6MHz SC-QAM channels = 20.
- SC-QAM spectral efficiency = 6.33bps/Hz.
- OFDM spectral efficiency = 7.8bps/Hz.
- Video options: 66 Digital channels, or IPTV.

IPTV Video

- Penetration ratio of video subscribers = 30%.
- Unicast only.
- HD MPEG4 bit rate = 5Mbps.
- UHD/4K bit rate = 17Mbps.
- 90% HD/10% UHD mix.
- 5% VOD.

The results of the analyses are shown in Figure 4 - Figure 9. The first three figures assume digital video channels and study the effect of node splits by varying the SG size from 250 to 125 and finally to 64. Similarly, the last three figures change




Figure 4: US & DS Tmax values that can be offered over time for various split options and DS spectrum sizes (SG size = 250, 66 Digital video channels)




Figure 5: US & DS Tmax values that can be offered over time for various split options and DS spectrum sizes (SG size = 125, 66 Digital video channels)

the SG size but assuming IPTV video delivery instead of digital video channels.

Understanding how to interpret the curves can be best illustrated using an example. For instance in Figure 4, curves with no markers show the highest peak service rate (Tmax) that can be supported in the US direction for different US split options. On the other hand, curves with markers indicate the highest DS Tmax that can be supported for different configurations (particular US split combined with DS spectrum limit).

Let's assume that the MSO would like to offer symmetrical 1Gbps service; it can be seen from Figure 4 that high-split (i.e. 204MHz US split) can support US Tmax of 1Gbps until about 2031 – this can be observed from the non-marker grey curve that corresponds to 'US Tmax: 204MHz US Split'. Using the same approach, it can be seen that a 204MHz US with 1.2GHz DS system can offer a DS Tmax value of 1Gbps beyond 2026 – this can be observed from the circle-marker

dark blue curve that corresponds to 'DS Tmax: 204MHz Split with 1.2GHz DS'.

The effect of a node split that divides the SG size in half (from 250 to 125), can be observed in Figure 5 above, where it can be seen that high-split can offer US Tmax of 1Gbps to about 2034 and high-split 1.2GHz DS system can offer a DS Tmax value of 1Gbps about 2029. Also, observe how a move to IPTV can further extend the lifespan of the network. For example, for an SG of 125, a move to IPTV will enable a high-split network with 1.2GHz DS to support DS Tmax value of 1Gbps to about 2031 as can be seen in Figure 8.

Observe that the above figures do not propose a specific set of US or DS Tmax values that the MSOs should offer. An MSO with envisioned support for a particular Tmax value (depending on their specific demand and competition) can use the curves to estimate the lifespan of their network given that envisioned Tmax value.




Figure 6: US & DS Tmax values that can be offered over time for various split options and DS spectrum sizes (SG size = 64, 66 Digital video channels)

Besides indicating the Tmax capabilities resulting from US split changes, node splits, DS spectrum size changes and video delivery strategy changes, the above family of curves can also be used concurrently with other tools like the selective subscriber migration tool. For example, looking at Figure 4 which assumes digital video and SG size of 250 subscribers, it can be noticed that high-split with 1.2GHz DS network cannot support DS Tmax values of 5Gbps. However, if selective subscriber migration is used, where the few subscribers requiring 5Gbps service are moved to another platform (like 10G PON over FTTH), then the rest of the subscribers on the network will need less demanding DS Tmax values like, for example, 1Gbps and therefore the same network with no modification will be able to support a DS service rate of 1Gbps to 256 subscribers until 2026.

Finally, it should be noted that Figures 4-9 include Tmax curves that can be supported using the 1x2.5Gbps GPON platform. For the digital video scenarios, it is assumed that video is transported via a separate wavelength and therefore

all of the GPON capacity is available for data. On the other hand, the IPTV scenarios assume that IP video traffic is sent over the PON technology along with data and therefore both video and data share the total available capacity, which leads to reduced Tmax values. It can be seen from Figures 4-9 that HFC networks can compete very well against GPON using the available migration tools.

This observation can lead us to argue that it is wise to limit the competition scope of HFC networks to only compete against EPON/GPON and use selective subscriber migration for customers who require service rates that are comparable to those offered by 10G PON, when the percentage of subscribers requiring those rates is small. This can be a smart move that yields a cost-effective migration strategy, where HFC networks can live for a long life while meeting the demand and addressing non-10G PON competition.

Finally, it should be noted that all of the curves in Figures 4-9 will stretch to the right if the CAGR percentages drop.




Figure 7: US & DS Tmax values that can be offered over time for various split options and DS spectrum sizes (SG size = 250, IPTV video channels)

Example network migration strategy

As mentioned earlier, there are many tools available to help the MSOs with their HFC network migration exercise. Some of the near-term tools are:

- Enable more DOCSIS 3.1 OFDMA for the US.
- Enable more DOCSIS 3.1 OFDM for the DS.
- Node split/segmentation.
- Enabling Switched Digital Video.
- Increasing video compression.
- Video BW reclamation by moving to IPTV.
- Increasing the US split.
- Increasing the DS spectral range.
- Selective subscriber migration.

An optimal network migration strategy is obtained by applying a comprehensive decision process that considers all available tools concurrently. The process is repeated every time a decision is to be made. In particular, the optimal decision

changes as time moves on. This article lists various tools that can be used concurrently, along with a proposed decision tree and family of time-aware curves to help make the right decision when a migration step is needed.

Based on the above, an example migration strategy is given below:

1. Reframe the goal as 'constrained' network migration {Selective Subscriber Migration}

- a. The result of constraining the process is avoiding the costs associated with unnecessary 'network-wide' upgrades when only a small percentage of the subscribers demand a service requiring such an upgrade. This is accomplished using the Selective Subscriber Migration tool, where a constraint is put on the maximum data rate that should be supported using the HFC network. Any peak rate exceeding this maximum is to be offered using another platform like PON over FTTH. An example of a chosen max. rate to be




Figure 8: US & DS Tmax values that can be offered over time for various split options and DS spectrum sizes (SG size = 125, IPTV video channels)

supported on the HFC network can be 2.5 Gbps. Rates beyond 2.5Gbps are not carried by the HFC network. That is, HFC is to compete with EPON/GPON but not 10G PON, when the percentage of subscribers requiring rates of over 2.5Gbps is very small. The result is moving the small percentage of subscribers with demand for very high peak rates to a different platform which, in turn, relieves the pressure from the existing network and therefore elongating the life of the network by allowing it to serve the remaining 'normal' subscribers without massive upgrades. Note that when the MSO decides to offer a particular service that requires an upgrade to the majority of their subscribers, then a network-wide upgrade will be appropriate and justifiable.

- b. The next steps in this migration strategy example assume network-wide upgrades, where all super subscribers have already been moved to a different platform.

2. Continue reducing the SG size {node splits}

- a. Node splits and node segmentation will help in reducing the SG size, which will reduce the overall busy hour BW requirements and therefore elongate the life of existing networks.

3. Move to 204MHz US with 1.2GHz DS {high-split/1.2GHz DS network architecture}

- a. With DOCSIS 3.1, 204MHz US enables the offering of 1+Gbps US peak rates. 1.2GHz DS adds additional spectrum to accommodate the increased DS traffic demand.
- b. Assuming no node split (i.e. SG size of 250 subscribers), high-split with 1.2GHz DS can offer symmetrical 1Gbps until at least 2026 (DS limited). With two nodes splits (i.e. SG of 64 subscribers), the same architecture can offer 1Gbps symmetrical service until 2031/2032.




Figure 9: US & DS Tmax values that can be offered over time for various split options and DS spectrum sizes (SG size = 64, IPTV video channels)

4. Continue reclaiming video BW {move video to IPTV}

- Moving video to IPTV can further elongate the life of a high-split 1.2GHz DS HFC network (with SG size of 64 subs) by two years. That is, it can offer symmetrical 1Gbps service until 2033/2034.

5. Further increase the US & DS throughputs {move to either ESD or Dynamic Soft-FDD}

- DOCSIS 4.0 ESD specifications enables the US to go up to 684MHz and the DS to go up to 1.8GHz. This will yield US and DS peak rates of 5Gbps and 10Gbps, respectively. ESD is a fixed-split FDD operation that is easy to manage but it requires the replacement of taps and amplifiers. Amplifiers may be challenged with high gain requirements to accommodate the increased attenuation at higher frequencies. As mentioned earlier, limited TCP values may not be a major issue for short and medium HFC plants.
- Dynamic Soft-FDD is the FDX flavour for N+x networks. It enables the US to go up to 684MHz and the DS to

continue to be at 1.2GHz, where the US & DS time-share the 108-684 MHz spectrum. This will yield US and DS peak rates of 5Gbps and 10Gbps, respectively. While Dynamic Soft-FDD does not require tap replacements, its operation may be a little more challenging than ESD. Also, due to the potential complexity of the amplifiers, it may only work for small cascades although it has the advantage of using the same FDX CPE silicon.

- While ESD & Dynamic Soft-FDD are equivalent from a capacity viewpoint, the decision to go one direction versus the other has major implications to the network architecture and its operation.

6. Active Taps

- Taking the fibre deeper in the network beyond N+0 can be done in stages. In particular, the MSO can choose to maximise the use of existing hardlines by using active taps, which are small active amplification devices, to enable signal delivery at high frequencies over hardlines.

7. FTTT

- a. Whether active taps were used or not, before going FTTH, it is beneficial to visit this step to maximise the life of HFC networks by using the existing drop cables that can go beyond 25GHz. In fact, with FTTT architecture, the HFC network becomes a point-to-point network with only the drop cable between subscriber and the network. This can enable FDX, ESD or a combination of the two.

8. FTTH

- a. The desired goal of HFC networks is to extend the fibre to the home.

Conclusions

There are many tools available in the network migration toolbox which will enable the HFC networks to support their customers well into the 2030 decade. These tools include selective subscriber migration; node splits; moving video to IPTV; upgrading the US split; increasing the DS spectrum; dynamic Soft-FDD; active taps; FTTT and FTTH.

After utilising the selective subscriber migration concept to constrain the network migration process, the article proposed a decision tree combined with a time-aware decision process to yield an optimal network migration strategy. It was found that the combination of light/medium touch options like moving the US split 204MHz with 1.2GHz DS & nodes splits/segmentations can extend the life of the HFC network to 2030 if the desired DS T_{max} is 2Gbps or less. Deploying IPTV will

further extend the life of HFC networks. Moreover, this article also showed that Dynamic-Soft-FDD & ESD can both increase the lifespan of HFC networks. In the ESD case, going with US splits higher than 396MHz when the DS is limited to 1.8GHz is not optimal.

Bibliography and References


[ANGA-Cable-Migration-2019] "Navigating the HFC Network Migration Maze into the 2020 Decade", by A. Al-Banna, ANGA COM 2019.

[NCTA-COVID-19-Tracker-2020] <https://www.ncta.com/COVIDdashboard>

[SCTE-Tec-Soft-FDD-2019] "Operational Considerations & Configurations for FDX & Soft-FDX: A Network Migration Guide To Converge The Cable Industry", by A. Al-Banna et. al., SCTE Cable-Tec Expo 2019.

[Spring-Forum-ESD-2016] "Using DOCSIS to Meet the Larger BW Demand of the 2020 Decade and Beyond", by T. Cloonan et. al., Spring Technical Forum, 2016.

[Spring-Forum-Migration-2016] "Network Migration Demystified in the DOCSIS® 3.1 Era and Beyond", by A. Al-Banna et. al., Spring Technical Forum, 2016.



SCTE members can now **Ask the Expert** via a facility that allows members to ask technical questions and receive considered responses and answers from experienced SCTE Executive Committee Members. Questions and answers will be posted on the SCTE website and also emailed directly to the enquirer.

Don't miss your chance to benefit from the long experience of the committee and ask your question now by logging into the member section of the SCTE website and accessing <http://www.thescte.eu/members/ask-the-experts> or you can email us at **technical@thescte.eu**, citing your member number and question.